

9-6-7

(From
~~to~~ French)

NEU

There is no real
move toward
divine that is sufficient
to recall the other
in motion (irreversibly)
or on

But there are several
that are necessary to
progress on ~~any~~ of
the others

[I would? want the
all be done together?
maybe?]

NRC is one of
these!

(not intended AT
bureau inspection)

First: NRC on trans:
off the table

US must not be
wrong its own

or threaten to threaten

then — ^{openly} leave itself
to use/transfer the
— create space — deliberate
ambiguity, contradiction —

I want to reframe
the discussion —

clear boundaries,
framing...

Reconsidering the
role ones have only
played in our (transit)
foreign ^{internal} policy

critical to people
of an "span of
influence," an entire

We must give up
goal of uninvited
beginning in ME

(an original "asset,"
Piper — an India
(like UK)

not only Arms, but
air attacks: invasion

business other g...
what? not unl.

(We don't want one
hostile (to us) person
to control all/most
of ME air. [e.g. SH])

Drum + EU

to Hirsch = no EU

on for (not put, Pod
Olaner)

on attack intend UN
Copenhagen

9-6-7

not w. from
sweat "of any
kind necessary"

(The FC has now
been joint hypothetical
instrument

for the, IV, GWB

and no pass has
entered, or been with,
OR BEEN ALLOWED
to surge through — at
cost of an ever increasing

9-6-7

Oryzoides

1000-year Peak
dynamic gradientity
~~normal~~
power of the bank
in down fold

Title: On the roots
of ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~the~~
the ~~the~~
the ~~the~~ use of
woven ropes
and possible ~~the~~
uses

(If Map are to an altar
(or a T bulb) - it is remember

Public has not
yet fully signed
on to 3d attack

(25th use, yes
(use = half, not Aug 23

(or very soon after)
that my interest be
"point of no return"
if (we can't move,
we should act now
or if not) it won't
already be passed.
[if T-wave - give ^{in camp} up]

9-6-7

Perhaps no bus
has wanted to use
a wire attack

(N?

GBWB ?/

But no bus has
been willing to perform
the thrust — and
not all based
into crises (not, properly)
have used it, or
consideration

see
ref.
Harden's
Call #4

pointable
superior
copying

non-esc...

(notes to Call 3?)

info to attack
fn Hirsch website

NSA Work TR his case
69 Burn for denial
intention of B

The Key Route to Art
of the

SB \rightarrow N + N \rightarrow now
US public computer

CW off for now:
in a world of
uncertainty, it could
return - and not
off short arounds
(antiquary...
prob^{ly} up from
near-zero (not 0)
to fighting

of false dawn, temptation,
etc.

July — more than
WMD's

Rebels?

An event?

(Hirsch?)
(due to invasion?)

Threat to Israel?

WMD's as "anomaly"
IEU in PG "after
SU out. But we
can't do any response

vs. WMD's

lines are out
involved to date
or referred to
CW
or BW

Import WMD
frame-ups

Who said this?

NOT ~~REF~~ EU vs. WMD's
INFO of WMD's

9-6-7

To Engelhardt

refers to Call for
etc to our name

quote Center Doc.

refers on brief: Andrew,

1991 - leads to

WMD concern?

(may tonight?)

Notion that this
doctrine is a new
departure is wrong

(It was wrong then,
it's wrong now, but
not because it's new)

end of CW focus
everyone for EU
and for front-line war
(less and for strat.)

backed by EU (against
(don't be last) NNWS

9-21-07
W-FU

Have did UN —
1981 come to be
written?

Debate?

(Center Doctrine? Jan '80

Reagan Jan '81

(see Aug 1980 crisis —
not material by

By as Center memoirs
(Judy Powell memoirs?)

Who wrote:

"This is being SALON
normal" ? KAMIYA

(Don't let this...

Kuo Vegas...

Knowledge
OK: Quoted Ben. Leuty -

& other journals:

What if the band behind
A-bank
there was "accuracy"

or at least very helpful?

9-

Oppier position is
like

"A-bank, good
(my) needed

"A-bank bank.

(year: 1964)

not needed

(A is enough)

accepts dominator

Psychology — in to

FU (two words)

(dominates FS — which was
good but tell 1964/68)